

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

DEC 14 2004

Commissioner for Patents
USPTO, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria
Virginia, 22313-1450 USA

(to attention of Mr.James Groody- Office of
Commissioner for Patents

Appl. 10/508,967 (PCT/IB03/03315)
Dr.Y.Zagyansky, Entraide, 22 rue Ste Marthe
75010 Paris France

Paris, 14th December 2004

FAX: 1-703-872-9306 *Y.Z.*

Dear Sir, Thank you for your e-mail of 05th December 04 Application N°10/508,967 (PCT/IB03/03315)

§1. Of course, it is impossible to suppose that the receiving of too simple confirmation Form of obligatory National filing of International Application takes now, in reality 600% more time. Such grandiose jump is a little fantastical; especially with the newest, best, leading (J.D.), more effective Processing and Bureautique, it is strangely going oppositely: to Stone Centuries. And Applicants from all PCT World had to wait (at USPTO National entry) at least the same time, wherein in my exceptional case there were no new corrections and my PCT Publication was in English: no checking. It is well strange. Moreover with SO MANY participants (citizens)!!!!

§2. And justly, only by chance, there is the scientific confirmation of the above Incredible strangeness. Before e-mail of 05 Dec.04 (sent by simple "Reply" only to me), Mr.James Groody sent too simple preliminary e-mail at 30 Nov.04 only about preparing of e-mail with answer but as "Reply to all!" TO all Addressers! and Witnesses of my original TOO alarming letter. So they all waited your, specially advertized, promised REPORT as in leading Civilization: Superviser from Government- Director of USPTO, re-named recently by President Bush personally [Mr.J.Dudas], Commissioner for Patents [N.Godici!], Deputy Commissioner for Patent Operations [E.Kepplinger!], Chief of Office of Patent Training [D.Lacey!]. And incredibly, Mr.Groody disappointed ALL his CHIEFS and, moreover, like "like this"!!! So it really looks like Mister James Groody was preparing another real REPORT for ALL Chiefs! But Obscure Blg's Power of Governmental Office prevented it, and the communication to them (all!) of such, logically created, jumped strangeness (of 600%!!!, especially for such the most simple case) was very risqué. Moreover, logically, the writing them of such incredible had to take them openly into Palermo of Governmental Office

And what will ALL (ALL!) this Patent Conscience of Democracy do now? This is the important question for Office of PATENTS. ANYWAY all this is clearly unlogic and fantastic. (Palermo, de facto, was clearly shown In Governmental European Patent Office).

Y.ZAGYANSKY/

§3. About putting to sleep with loss of this Patent (my precaution saved). In your e-mail of 15 Nov.04, you wrote only that this Application is "currently undergoing pre-examination processing". In Covering letter of entry (My Fax of 25 Sept.04 perfectly coinciding with the same date of entry in your e-mail of 05 Dec.04), I asked to pay this basic fee "from certain excess (at least \$460)" (for precaution: ONLY from excess) of payment "for my previous application (PCT/EP02/..)". Without any doubt, with N° of operation, de facto, there are, IN AUGUST 2004, the TWO debits in my bank account by USPTO of \$460 + \$460 at 24th AND (AND) 27th AUG. 04 with help of special Forms PTO 2038 (with data of my Card VISA) [It was for Appl.10/508,967 (PCT/EP02/02302): these Forms arrived with help of my Fax of 19 AUG.04 (arrived 19 AUG.04) and parallel registered letter of 18 Aug.04, arrived logically 24 Aug.04]. But after your new e-mail (of 10th Dec.04), it is clear that the Responsible for my 2nd file (10/508,967- PCT/IB03/03315) of LEADING (J.D.) Patent Office did not use these extra-taken money for another basic fee of THIS my new Appl. 10/508,967. DID USPTO DO IT LEGALLY: is it possible to use the same excess of payment for another Application of the same Applicant? Is it the crime to put to sleep, because after deadline I had to lose this patent because of nonpayment? In coincidence (of course): you did not see, in file, the 2nd 100% AUGUST'S debit, made by USPTO (for basic fee of the same PCT/EP02/02302: 10/505,038 by the same way with the same Card VISA (Another Form PTO 2038 arrived with registered letter 3 days later). (USPTO mistakenly took two times for basic fee, because these Forms were parallel as it was written), and one time was sufficient for one basic fee of the same Application. (BUT ANYWAY USPTO TOOK IT). After your e-mail of 15 Nov.04, not clear about this payment, I decided to send the Fax (29 Nov.04) (with parallel registered letter) with this Form PTO 2038 (already directly for this Application 10/508,967) for precaution without waiting the deadline. USPTO debited, for basic fee of this last Application, only one time: ONLY at 29 NOV. 04. I was right: I could lose the patent.

Y.ZAGYANSKY/

§4. All such permanent errors and sure falsifications of State (see also my letter [14 DEC.04] for another application) oblige me NOT to ask yet ANY refunds before having clear data about all payments, because each of these patents costs milliards. With such certain works at level of Maxwell and Faraday, I am unemployment with 100% political reasons as real author of real numerous political antifascist (in clear reality) books and these permanent extrapayments are too important EVEN for my survival.

§5. Because of permanent errors, can you confirm that there is only one payment for this Application (10,508,967: PCT/IB03/03315), made ONLY 29 NOV 04. Thank you very much in forward. Sincerely yours

Dr.Y.Zagyansky